Latest infection status, etc. (1)

OTrends in the number of new cases of infection								OTrends in the testing system								
	(Per 100,000 of the population)										(No. c	(No. of tests, positive rate)				
	8/18~8/24		8/25~8/31				9/1~9/7			8/9~8/15		8/16~8/22		8/23~8/	′29	
Nationwide	127.63	(161,029)	↑	116.36	(146,813)	\downarrow	81.38	(102,672)	\downarrow	643,325↑	18.0%	\uparrow	851,106↑	18.5% ↑	863,786↑	17.8% ↓
Hokkaido	69.47	(3,647)	↑	54.11	(2,841)	\downarrow	30.82	(1,618)	\downarrow	25,711↓	10.8%	\uparrow	34,591↑	10.3% ↓	38,933↑	8.0% ↓
Saitama	158.68	(11,663)	↑	136.33	(10,020)	\downarrow	84.79	(6,232)	\downarrow	48,636↓	21.3%	\uparrow	61,025↑	19.6% ↓	59,421↓	17.8% ↓
Chiba	168.11	(10,522)	↑	151.43	(9,478)	\downarrow	112.35	(7,032)	\downarrow	26,677↓	28.3%	\uparrow	32,478↑	33.3% ↑	32,187↓	30.5% ↓
Tokyo	233.16	(32,458)	↑	177.03	(24,645)	\downarrow	112.19	(15,618)	\downarrow	144,273个	20.7%	\downarrow	171,066 ↑	19.4% ↓	126,648↓	20.9% ↑
Kanagawa	184.76	(16,994)	↑	169.58	(15,598)	\downarrow	109.94	(10,112)	\downarrow	33,508↓	41.3%	\uparrow	43,262 ↑	39.5% ↓	44,062↑	38.3% ↓
Aichi	120.74	(9,118)	↑	168.07	(12,693)	↑	144.00	(10,875)	\downarrow	19,287个	19.1%	\uparrow	32,681↑	24.4% ↑	42,422↑	28.9% ↑
Kyoto	132.37	(3,419)	↑	134.07	(3,463)	↑	103.60	(2,676)	\downarrow	13,419个	17.4%	\uparrow	16,747 <mark>↑</mark>	19.1% ↑	19,223↑	18.9% ↓
Osaka	181.95	(16,028)	↑	197.91	(17,434)	↑	165.24	(14,556)	\downarrow	66,392↓	15.0%	↑	91,327↑	16.3% ↑	94,680↑	18.4% ↑
Hyogo	123.40	(6,745)	↑	120.23	(6,572)	\downarrow	96.40	(5,269)	\downarrow	15,800个	23.2%	\uparrow	25,363↑	25.2% ↑	28,698↑	23.5% ↓
Fukuoka	148.32	(7,570)	1	123.47	(6,302)	\downarrow	90.52	(4,620)	\downarrow	23,810↓	22.6%	↑	32,621↑	22.1% ↓	33,197↑	20.4% ↓
Okinawa	314.25	(4,566)	↑	287.47	(4,177)	\downarrow	212.11	(3,082)	\downarrow	14,493↓	28.8%	\uparrow	18,432↑	24.7% ↓	15,890↓	28.0% ↑

 $^{^*\}uparrow$, \downarrow , and \rightarrow indicate increase, decrease, and the same level, respectively, compared to the previous week.

Latest infection status, etc. (2)

OTrends in the numbers of inpatients

OTrends in the numbers of severe patients

[No. of inpatients (Ratio to the no. of secured beds)]

[No. of inpatients (Ratio to the no. of secured beds)]

	8/18	8/25	9/1	8/18	8/25	9/1
Nationwide	21,338 (56.6%) ↑	23,908 (61.6%) ↑	24,081 (61.1%) ↑	2,591 (46.9%) ↑	2,820 (49.9%) ↑	3,030 (53.3%) ↑
Hokkaido	704 (35.3%) ↑	872 (43.7%) ↑	913 (45.8%) 🔨	19 (13.3%) 🔨	16 (11.2%) \downarrow	15 (10.5%) ↓
Saitama	1,188 (69.6%) ↑	1,240 (68.6%) ↑	1,286 (70.2%) ↑	125 (73.1%) 🔨	164 (74.9%) ↑	161 (68.5%) ↓
Chiba	1,045 (75.2%) ↑	1,082 (77.7%) ↑	1,027 (72.4%) ↓	114 (82.6%) ↑	114 (80.9%) →	115 (81.6%) ↑
Tokyo	3,779 (59.0%) ↑	4,112 (64.2%) ↑	4,156 (64.9%) ↑	1,077 (89.2%) 🔨	1,135 (94.0%) ↑	1,169 (96.9%) ↑
Kanagawa	1,524 (79.2%) ↑	1,693 (77.2%) ↑	1,686 (80.4%) ↓	212 (88.0%) ↑	245 (82.8%) ↑	253 (91.3%) ↑
Aichi	586 (37.3%) ↑	719 (45.8%) 🔨	895 (57.0%) 🔨	35 (20.6%) ↑	40 (23.5%) ↑	62 (36.5%) ↑
Kyoto	364 (64.4%)	435 (77.0%) ↑	466 (75.9%) ↑	86 (59.3%) 1	104 (71.7%) ↑	97 (63.8%) ↓
Osaka	1,946 (62.1%) ↑	2,128 (67.4%) ↑	2,263 (70.9%) ↑	478 (39.0%) 1	503 (41.0%) ↑	550 (44.6%) ↑
Hyogo	764 (61.8%) ^	838 (67.7%) ↑	877 (70.9%) 🔨	57 (40.1%) ↑	65 (45.8%) ↑	73 (51.4%) 🔨
Fukuoka	880 (60.9%) ↑	989 (67.7%) 1	934 (63.5%) ↓	27 (13.4%) 🔨	34 (16.7%) ↑	40 (19.7%) 1
Okinawa	645 (82.1%) ↑	715 (83.3%) ^	703 (83.0%) ↓	107 (81.7%) ↑	109 (86.5%) ↑	116 (89.2%) 🔨

^{* &}quot;Trends in the numbers of inpatients" are based on the "Surveillance of the Status of Care for Patients with the Novel Coronavirus Infection and the Number of Beds," by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. In this surveillance, the results as of 0:00 on the presentation date are published.

↑, ↓, and → indicate an increase, a decrease, and the same level, respectively, compared to the previous week.

^{*} From the 35th to the 50th advisory board, the time point was described as 24:00 on the day before the date (Tuesday) of reporting in the "Surveillance of the Status of Care for Patients with the Novel Coronavirus Infection and the Number of Beds" by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. From this time on, the time point will be described in line with the time point of surveillance.























